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Introduction

This document is a summary of the research made during the Graduation Internship at Drieam. There is one main question/goal “How might we provide more tools to students to manage their evidence more easily?” that gives rise to the other three research questions (with their sub questions) guiding the internship. Each of the research questions gets a chapter and their sub questions are subchapters on this document. It is not a report but serves as part of the Graduation assignment deliverables.

# Characteristics of a working portfolio software

In order to better understand the assignment, it is important to know what the portfolio software is and what features make it a useful tool for students and teachers alike. To better define the characteristics of a working portfolio software the student performed several LIBRARY and FIELD research methods.

## What functionalities other e-Portfolio products have built?

<Competitive analysis>

<Best good and bad practices >

<Document analysis>

How is the aggregated data managed by similar portfolio solutions?

<Available product analysis >

# The key needs of the target audience in the context of Portfolio Evidence page

FIELD, WORKSHOP, SHOWROOM

## What are the existing client requirements for Portflow Evidence management?

<Gathering requirements from internal docs - Document analysis>

< Explore user requirements>

## How could the user experience of Portflow Evidence section be improved?

<Review existing designs>

<Requirements prioritization>

<Prototyping>

<Pitch> or <Peer Review>

# The best practices to technically implement the design

LIBRARY, FIELD

## What other frameworks could serve the solution?

<Literature Study>

<Task analysis>

## How to quickly fetch the aggregated data?

<Literature Study>

TBD…

# Sources

**There are no sources in the current document.**